



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/634,747	· · ·	08/06/2003	George Sesser	737-235	7862
23117	7590	03/25/2005	EXAMINER		INER
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC				NGUYEN, DINH Q	
1100 N GL	EBE ROA	D			
8TH FLOO	R		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTO	ON, VA	22201-4714	3752		

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8	η	
B	П	/

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Astion Commence	10/634,747	SESSER ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Dinh Q. Nguyen	3752						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 A</u>	<u>ugust 2003</u> .							
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29 and 30</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>29 and 30</u> is/are rejected.							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/03 &amp; 7/04.</li> </ul>		atent Application (PTO-152)						

Application/Control Number: 10/634,747 Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 29 and 30 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,651,905 in view of Beamer.

Claim 29 of the instant application cites a sprinkler head comprising a base, an elongated stem, a nozzle, a shaft, and a throttle control member, which are fully disclosed in claim 21 of the '905 patent. However, claim 21 of the '905 patent does not teach the throttle control member comprises a polyurethane thermoplastic elastomer. Beamer discloses a sprinkler head with a nozzle insert 62 being made by polyurethane material. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided the device of the '905 patent with a throttle control member comprises a polyurethane thermoplastic elastomer as suggested by Beamer.

Doing so would provide a resilient material that against abrasion (see column 7, lines 48-53).

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-28 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. 6,457,656 to Scott is cited to disclose a sprinkler head with velocity control disc 122 and valve member 128 being made from elastomeric material.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dinh Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4907. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Scherbel can be reached on 571-272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dinh Q Nguyen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3752